

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/633,738	08/04/2003	Graham John Myatt	9151R	5595	
27752 7590 07/12/2005			EXAMINER		
	TER & GAMBLE COM	WHITE, EVERETT NMN			
	UAL PROPERTY DIVISION LL TECHNICAL CENTER	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
6110 CENTER HILL AVENUE			1623		
CINCINNAT	I, OH 45224	DATE MAILED: 07/12/2005			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/633,73	8	MYATT ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		EVERETT	WHITE	1623				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communicati or Reply	on appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ddress			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicate period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory into the reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	FION.  CFR 1.136(a). In no evertion.  s, a reply within the state, period will apply and with state the apply statute, cause the apply statute.	ent, however, may a reply be tim utory minimum of thirty (30) days Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ication to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n <u>03 May 2005</u> .						
2a)□	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
5)□ 6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-32 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-27 and 31 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) 28-30 and 32 is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicat	ion Papers			•				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
44\□	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
,			te the attached Office	Action of form 1	10-132.			
-	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
· a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority doct 2. Certified copies of the priority doct 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International	uments have bee uments have bee e priority docume Bureau (PCT Rule	n received. n received in Application ents have been receive e 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage			
Attachmen	tie)							
_	τ(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO r No(s)/Mail Date		Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate	O-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/633,738 Page 2

Art Unit: 1623

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. The Appeal Brief filed May 3, 2005 has been received, entered and carefully considered. The amendment affects the instant application accordingly:

- (A) Comments regarding Office Action have been provided drawn to:
  - (I) 103(a) rejections, which has been withdrawn.
- 2. Claims 1-32 are pending in the case.
- 3. The text of those sections of Title 35, U. S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

#### Appeal Status

4. The appeal is being held in abeyance.

#### Claim Objections

5. Claim 32 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim should refer to other claims in the alternative only. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claim has not been further treated on the merits.

#### **Double Patenting**

6. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Application/Control Number: 10/633,738 Page 3

Art Unit: 1623

7. Claims 1-27 and 31 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over Claims 1-21 and 24-44 of copending Application No. 10/633,965. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the instant application and the '965 application both claim compositions comprising a polysaccharide component comprising xylose and arabinose, wherein the ratio of xylose to arabinose is at least about 3:1, by weight and a dispersing component selected from the group consisting of binders, suspending agents, edible acids and mixtures thereof. Both documents (the instant application and the '965 application) set forth a composition comprising agglomerates comprising from 10% to about 90% of polysaccharide component, by weight of composition. The documents differ in that the '965 patent claims that the composition thereof may comprise at least one surrounding layer that may be hydrophobic and/or hydrophilic. However, the instant invention does not avoid the present of surrounding layers with the composition thereof in view of the language used to characterize the claim invention (use of the term comprising) and in view of independent Claim 27, which recites that the composition of Claim 1 as further comprising an aqueous liquid, which is hydrophilic. Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant(s) invention to replace a composition comprising a surrounding hydrophobic or hydrophilic layer of the '965 application with the composition comprising an aqueous liquid of the instant claims in view of their closely related structures and the resulting expectation of similar dietary fiber or laxative containing properties.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

#### Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claims 28-30 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/633,738 Page 4

Art Unit: 1623

9. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: Upon consideration of the Appeal Brief filed May 3, 2005, it is agreed that the application is allowable for the reasons set forth on pages 4, 6 and 8, the 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph of each page, of said Appeal Brief, that the prior art of record does not teach or suggest a polysaccharide comprising xylose and arabinose, where the ratio of the xylose to the arabinose is at least about 3:1, by weight, in combination with a dispersing component selected from the group consisting of binders, suspending agents, edible acids, and mixtures thereof as claimed in the present application.

### Summary

10. Claims 1-27 and 31 are rejected; Claims 28-30 and 32 are objected to.

## Examiner's Telephone Number, Fax Number, and Other Information

11. For 24 hour access to patent application information 7 days per week, or for filing applications, please visit out website at <a href="https://www.uspto.gov">www.uspto.gov</a> and click on the button "Patent Electronic Business Center" for more information.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Everett White whose telephone number is (571) 272-0660. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:30 AM to 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James O. Wilson, can be reach on (571) 272-0661. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

E.White

ames O. Wilson

Supervisory Primary Examiner

chnology Center 1600